

April 15: Fibers of Ring Homomorphisms

Fibers of Ring Homomorphisms

We begin with the following proposition, which gives some information about fibers of a ring homomorphism.

Proposition A4. Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. For $P \subseteq S$, set $\mathfrak{p} := P \cap R$. Then

$$\text{height}(P) \leq \text{height}(\mathfrak{p}) + \dim(k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes S).$$

Equality holds if the going down property holds between R and S .

Proof. By localizing at P , R becomes local at \mathfrak{p} , and neither the heights or dimensions in question change. So we may assume that R is local at \mathfrak{p} and S is local at P .

Set $d := \dim(R)$ and $t := \dim(S/\mathfrak{p}S)$. Let $x_1, \dots, x_d \in R$ be a system of parameters and $y_1, \dots, y_t \in S$ be such that their images in $S/\mathfrak{p}S$ form a system of parameters. Then $P^c \subseteq \underline{y}S + \mathfrak{p}S$ and $\mathfrak{p}^d \subseteq \underline{x}R$, for some $c, d \geq 1$. Then $P^{c+d} \subseteq (\underline{x} + \underline{y})S$. This shows $\dim(S) \leq d + t$, which gives the first statement.

Now suppose the going down property holds between R and S . Let $P_0 \subsetneq P_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq P_t = P$ be a saturated chain of primes containing $\mathfrak{p}S$. Note $P_0 \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$.

Fibers of Ring Homomorphisms

Now let $p_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq p_d = p$ be a saturated chain in R . Then, by the going down property, in S , there is a chain of primes $P_0 = Q_d \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq Q_0$ with $Q_i = p_i$, for all i .

This gives a chain of primes of length $d + t$ in S . Thus, $\dim(S) = d + t$, which is what we want. \square

Proposition B4. Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism so that S is faithfully flat over R . Then:

- (i) ϕ is injective.
- (ii) The going down and lying over properties hold between R and S . In particular, equality holds in Proposition A4.
- (iii) $\text{height}(I) = \text{height}(IS)$, for all ideals $I \subseteq R$.

Proof. For (i) suppose $a \in R$ is non-zero. We have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow aR \rightarrow R$. If we tensor with S (via ϕ), the sequence $0 \rightarrow (aR) \otimes S \rightarrow R \otimes S = S$ stays exact, by the flatness of S over R . The image of $a \otimes 1_S$ under this map is just $\phi(a)$. If $\phi(a) = 0$, then $(aR) \otimes S = 0$, which contradicts the faithfully flat property.

For (ii), let $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ be a prime ideal. Then the fiber $k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes S$ is non-zero. Thus, $\text{Spec}(k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes S)$ is non-empty, so by our comments above, there exists a prime $P \subseteq S$ with $P \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$. In other words, the lying over property holds. Now suppose P_2 is a prime ideal in S , and set $\mathfrak{p}_2 := P_2 \cap R$ and suppose we have a prime $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_2$. Localizing at P_2 preserves flatness (by transitivity of flatness), so we may assume S is local at P_2 . Since \mathfrak{p}_2 is the only maximal ideal of R and $\mathfrak{p}_2 S \neq S$, the extension is also faithfully flat. By lying over, there is a prime $P_1 \subseteq S$ with $P_1 \cap R = \mathfrak{p}_1$. Since S is local at P_2 , $P_1 \subseteq P_2$, so the going down property holds.

For part (iii), let $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ be a prime ideal and take $P \subseteq S$ a prime minimal over $\mathfrak{p}S$. Again, we may localize at P and assume that S is local at P and faithfully flat over R . By part (ii), the going down property holds, so by Proposition A,

$$\text{height}(P) = \text{height}(\mathfrak{p}) + \dim(k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes S) = \text{height}(\mathfrak{p}) + \dim(S/\mathfrak{p}S) = \text{height}(\mathfrak{p}) + 0 = \text{height}(\mathfrak{p})$$

This argument shows $\text{height}(I) = \text{height}(IS)$. Indeed, if \mathfrak{p} is minimal over I having the same height as I , then the above shows $\text{height}(IS) \leq \text{height}(I)$. On the other hand, starting with P minimal over IS , P is minimal over $\mathfrak{p}S$, for $\mathfrak{p} = P \cap S$, so the argument shows $\text{height}(IS) \leq \text{height}(I)$, and therefore, $\text{height}(I) = \text{height}(IS)$. □

Fibers of Ring Homomorphisms

Here is a proposition that sheds some light on the dimension of fibers. Note that, in general, the going up property does not hold between R and a polynomial ring or a power series over R .

Part (ii) of the example above shows that the going up property fails for power series rings, and that part (i) of the proposition below can fail in a faithfully flat extension, while if R is a DVR with uniformizing parameter π , going up fails for the extension $R \subseteq R[x]$, even though the fibers all have the same dimension.

To see this, note that $(\pi x - 1)R[x]$ is a maximal ideal in the polynomial ring contracting back to zero. If we take the chain $(0) \subseteq (\pi)$ we cannot lift it to a chain in $R[x]$ starting with $(\pi x - 1)$, since the latter is a maximal ideal.

Proposition C4. Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism between Noetherian rings. Let $q \subseteq p \subseteq R$ be prime ideals.

- (i) If the going up holds, then $\dim(k(q) \otimes S) \leq \dim(k(p) \otimes S)$.
- (ii) If going down holds, $\dim(k(p) \otimes S) \leq \dim(k(q) \otimes S)$.

Fibers of Ring Homomorphisms

Proof. For (i), let $r := \dim(k(q) \otimes S)$. Then there exists a chain of distinct primes $Q_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Q_r$ in S such that for each i , $Q_i \cap R = q$. Suppose $s := \text{height}(p/q)$. Then in R , there exists a chain of distinct primes $q = p_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq p_s = p$. Since $p_0 = q$ by the going up property, we can lift this chain in R to a chain to $Q_r \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Q_{r+s}$, where each $Q_{r+j} \cap R = p_j$. Applying Proposition B4 to the induced homomorphism $R/q \rightarrow S/qS$, we have:

$$r + s \leq \text{height}(Q_{r+s}/qS) \leq \text{height}(p/q) + \dim(k(p/q) \otimes S/qS),$$

so $r \leq \dim(k(p/q) \otimes S/qS) = \dim(k(p) \otimes S)$, which is what we want.

Fibers of Ring Homomorphisms

For (ii), we first note that if the conclusion of part (ii) holds when $\text{height}(p/q) = 1$ then it holds in general. For if $q \subseteq p \subseteq p'$ with $\text{height}(p/q) = 1 = \text{height}(p'/p)$, then the longest chain of primes in S lying over p' is less than or equal to the longest chain of primes in S lying over p , which is less than or equal to the longest chain of primes in S lying over q , by the height one case. Iterating this shows we may assume $\text{height}(p/q) = 1$.

Set $r := \dim(k(p) \otimes S)$. If $r = 0$, there is nothing to prove. Now, suppose $r > 0$ and let $P_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq P_r$ be a chain of distinct primes in S with $P_j \cap R = p$, for all j .

We need to find a chain of distinct primes $Q_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Q_r$ in S , so that $Q_j \cap R = q$, for all j . For this we will use the following fact: If T is a Noetherian domain and C is a prime ideal in T having height greater than one, then C contains infinitely many height one primes of T .

Fibers of Ring Homomorphisms

Now, by going down, there exist $Q_0 \subsetneq P_0$ such that $Q_0 \cap R = q$. Take $x \in p \setminus q$. We apply the fact above to $T := S/Q_0$ and its prime P_1/Q_0 , which has height greater than one.

The fact above implies that there exists a height one prime contained in P_1/Q_0 not containing the image of x , since the image of x in T is contained in only finitely many height one primes. This prime corresponds to a prime Q_1 in S containing Q_0 , properly contained in P_1 .

Since x is not in Q_1 , we can't have $Q_1 \cap R = p$ and since there are no primes in R between q and p , we must have $Q_1 \cap R = q$. We can now apply the same process in S/Q_1 to the prime P_2/Q_1 which has height greater one. There is a height one prime in S/Q_1 contained in P_2/Q_1 not containing the image of x . As before, this corresponds to a prime Q_2 properly containing Q_1 , which satisfies $Q_2 \cap R = q$. Continuing in this fashion, we can create a chain of primes of length r in S where each element of the chain contracts to q . This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Fibers of Ring Homomorphisms

Remark Let R be a Noetherian ring.

(i) R satisfies Serre's condition S_n if for all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$, $\text{depth}(R_P) \geq \min\{n, \dim(R_P)\}$. Thus, for example, a ring is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if it satisfies S_n for all $n \leq \dim(R)$.

(ii) R satisfies Serre's condition R_n if for all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$, with $\text{height}(P) \leq n$, R_P is a regular local ring. A ring is regular if and only if it satisfies R_n for all n .

Comments. (i) R is reduced if and only if R satisfies R_0 and S_1 . The conditions clearly hold if R is reduced. Suppose the conditions R_0 and S_1 hold. The condition S_1 implies that the associated primes of zero have height zero, i.e., are the minimal primes of R .

The R_0 condition implies that R_q is a field for each minimal prime $q \subseteq R$, and hence $q_q = 0$, for all minimal primes q . Together these conditions give $(0) = q_1 \cap \cdots \cap q_s$, where the q_i are the minimal primes of R . Therefore, R is reduced.

Fibers of Ring Homomorphisms

(ii) Even though we have been considering integrally closed domains, the ring R does not have to be an integral domain to be integrally closed. We say that R is integrally closed (as a ring) if R equals the integral closure of R in its total quotient ring.

Note however, that if R is integrally closed, then either R is its total quotient ring or R must be reduced - since if $a \in R$ satisfies $a^c = 0$, then for any non-zero-divisor s in R , $\frac{a}{s}$ is an element in the total quotient ring of R , integral over R , yet not in R . With this in mind, one can show that R is integrally closed if and only if R satisfies Serre's conditions R_1 and S_2 . The proof of this is almost identical to the proof of Proposition A.

We need a special case of standard result concerning flatness before proving one of our main results. This result is known as the *local criterion for flatness*. In general, one does not have to assume that the given ring map is faithfully flat.

Fibers of Ring Homomorphisms

Theorem D4. Let $\phi : (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) \rightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n}, l)$ be a faithfully local homomorphism of Noetherian local rings. A finitely generated S -module M is flat over R if and only if $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(k, M) = 0$.

Sketch of Proof. If M is flat, then $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(N, M) = 0$ for all R -modules by applying the long exact sequence in Tor associated to the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$, where F is a free R -module.

Conversely, if $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(N, M) = 0$ for all N , then M is flat since if

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0,$$

is an exact sequence of R -modules, we have an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(C, M) \rightarrow A \otimes M \rightarrow B \otimes M \rightarrow C \otimes M \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(C, M) = 0$, the map $A \otimes M \rightarrow B \otimes M$ is injective, showing M is flat. We now make a series of reductions.

Fibers of Ring Homomorphisms

Step 1. M is flat over R if $\text{Tor}_1^R(N, M) = 0$, for all finitely generated R -modules. This follows since $N = \varinjlim N_i$ is a direct limit of finitely generated R -modules and $\varinjlim \text{Tor}_1^R(N_i, M) = \text{Tor}_1^R(\varinjlim N_i, M)$, M is flat over R if $\text{Tor}_1^R(N, M) = 0$, for all finitely generated R -modules N .

Step 2. Let N be a finitely generated R -module. Then N has a filtration $0 = N_0 \subseteq N_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq N_r = N$, such that $N_i/N_{i-1} \cong R/P_i$, where each $P_i \subseteq R$ is a prime ideal. If $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/P_i, M) = 0$, for all i , then induction on i together with the long exact Tor sequence applied to the sequences $0 \rightarrow N_{i-1} \rightarrow N_i \rightarrow N_i/N_{i-1} \rightarrow 0$, shows that $\text{Tor}_1^R(N_i, M) = 0$ for all i and hence $\text{Tor}_1^R(N, M) = 0$. Thus, it suffices to prove $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/I, M) = 0$, for all ideals $I \subseteq R$.

Step 3. Suppose $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/J, M) = 0$, for all \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals $J \subseteq R$. Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. Fix $t \geq 1$. Then $J := I + \mathfrak{m}^t$ is \mathfrak{m} -primary. Let $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence with F finitely generated and free over S . Then $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/J, M) = 0$ implies $JF \cap K = JK$, since the long exact Tor sequence implies $(JF \cap K)/JK = \text{Tor}_1^R(R/J, M)$. Thus $IF \cap K \subseteq (I + \mathfrak{m}^t)K \subseteq (I + \mathfrak{n}^t)K$.

Fibers of Ring Homomorphisms

Since K is finitely generated and S is local, taking this last intersection over all t shows $IF \cap K = IK$. Since F is flat over R , the long exact Tor sequence with I now shows $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/I, M) = 0$. Thus, it suffices to show $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/J, M) = 0$ for all \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals J .

Step 4. Let $J \subseteq R$ be \mathfrak{m} -primary. It suffices to prove $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(N, M) = 0$, for all finite length R -modules N . Proceeding by induction on the length, when the length is one, $N \cong k$, and our assumption gives $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(N, M) = 0$. When N has length greater than one, we can find an R -module $N' \subseteq N$ such that N/N' has length one. We then apply the long exact Tor sequence associated to $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/N' \rightarrow 0$ to complete the proof. \square

Here is an important corollary.

Fibers of Ring Homomorphisms

Corollary E4. Let $\phi : (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) \rightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n}, l)$ be a flat local homomorphism of local rings. Suppose $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_r \in S$ have the property that their images in $S/\mathfrak{m}S$ form a regular sequence. Then \underline{x} forms a regular sequence in S and $S/(\underline{x})S$ is flat over R .

Proof. It suffices to prove the case $r = 1$. So, suppose $x \in S$ is a non-zero-divisor on $S/\mathfrak{m}S$. Take $s \in S$ and suppose $sx = 0$. Then $sx \in \mathfrak{m}S$, so $s \in \mathfrak{m}S$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_d \in R$ be a minimal generating set for \mathfrak{m} . Then we have part of a minimal resolution of \mathfrak{m} over R :

$$R^c \xrightarrow{\alpha} R^d \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0,$$

where the matrix α has entries in \mathfrak{m} . Tensoring with S , we preserve exactness and have $S^c \xrightarrow{\alpha \otimes 1} S^d \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}S \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, we may write $s = s_1 a_1 + \dots + s_d a_d$, with $s_i \in S$. Therefore, $0 = (xs_1)a_1 + \dots + (xs_d)a_d$. It

follows that the column vector $\begin{pmatrix} xs_1 \\ \vdots \\ xs_d \end{pmatrix}$ belongs to the image of $\alpha \otimes 1$. Thus

each $xs_i \in \mathfrak{m}S$.

Fibers of Ring Homomorphisms

Therefore, by our assumption on x , each $s_i \in \mathfrak{m}S$. Thus, $s \in \mathfrak{m}^2S$. Repeating the argument shows $s \in \mathfrak{m}^tS$, for all t , and thus, $s = 0$. Therefore, x is a non-zero-divisor in S .

Now, consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{x} S \rightarrow S/xS \rightarrow 0$. Tensoring with k we get:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(k, S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(k, S/xS) \rightarrow S/\mathfrak{m}S \xrightarrow{x} S/\mathfrak{m}S \rightarrow S/(x, \mathfrak{m})S \rightarrow 0.$$

In the Tor sequence above, multiplication by x is injective, by the assumption on x , and $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(k, S) = 0$, since S is flat over R . Therefore $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(k, S/xS) = 0$, and thus S/xS is flat over R , as required. \square